



On asymptotic behavior of solution to a nonlinear wave equation with Space-time speed of propagation and damping terms

Paul A. Ogbiyele
University of Ibadan, Nigeria

and

Peter O. Arawomo
University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Received : August 2020. Accepted : August 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the asymptotic behavior of solution to the nonlinear damped wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \operatorname{div}(a(t, x)\nabla u) + b(t, x)u_t = -|u|^{p-1}u \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

with space-time speed of propagation and damping potential. We obtained L^2 decay estimates via the weighted energy method and under certain suitable assumptions on the functions $a(t, x)$ and $b(t, x)$. The technique follows that of Lin et al.[8] with modification to the region of consideration in \mathbf{R}^n . These decay result extends the results in the literature.

Subclass Primary: 35L05, 35L70; **Secondary:** 37L15

Keywords: *Space-time speed of propagation, Space-time dependent damping, Asymptotic behavior, Weighted energy method.*

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solution to the following nonlinear wave equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \operatorname{div}(a(t, x)\nabla u) + b(t, x)u_t = -|u|^{p-1}u, & t \in [0, \infty), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x) & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with space-time dependent coefficients of the form

$$(1.2) \quad b(t, x) = b_0(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\beta}$$

and

$$\rho_1(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}(1 + t)^\gamma |\xi|^2 \leq a(t, x)\xi \cdot \xi \leq \rho_0(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}(1 + t)^\gamma |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (1.3)$$

where $a(t, x) = \eta(t)^{-1}\rho(x)$ and $\eta(t) = (1 + t)^{-\gamma}$. In addition, $b_0 > 0$, $\rho_0 > 0$, $\alpha + \delta \in [0, 2)$ and $\beta + \gamma \in [0, 1)$, where $u = u(t, x)$. More precisely, $\alpha + \beta + \delta + \gamma \in [0, 1)$. Equations of the form (1.1) arise in the study of nonlinear wave equations describing the motion of body traveling in an in-homogeneous medium. They appear in various aspects of Mathematical Physics, Geophysics and Ocean acoustics.

In the case of scalar coefficients and bounded smooth domains Ω , there is an extensive literature on energy decay results. For the semi-linear wave equation

$$(1.4) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = |u|^p,$$

Todorova and Yordanov [18] showed that $C_n = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ is the critical exponent (Fujita exponent) for $p < \infty$ ($n < 3$) and $p < 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ ($n \geq 3$).

Nishihara in his paper [11] showed that the decay rate of solution to the damped linear wave equation follows that of self similar solution of its corresponding heat equation for $n = 3$ and showed this by obtaining $L^p - L^q$ estimates on their difference. For similar results on 1-dimension and 2-dimensions, see Marcati and Nishihara [9] and Hosono and Ogawa [5] respectively, and in any dimension, see Narazaki [10]. Hence, it is expected that the behavior of the solution to equation (1.4) is similar to that of the corresponding heat equation

$$(1.5) \quad u_t - \Delta u = |u|^p,$$

whose similarity solution $u_a(t, x)$ has the form $t^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} F(xt^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ with $a = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{\frac{2}{p-1}} f(x) \geq 0$ provided that $p < 1 + \frac{2}{n}$.

In the case of time dependent potential type of damping, with equations of the form

$$(1.6) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(t)u_t + |u|^{p-1}u = 0,$$

there are also several results on the decay rate of the solution. Nishihara and Zhai [13], used a weighted energy method similar to those in [18] and obtained decay estimates of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_2 &\leq Ct^{-\left(\frac{n}{4(p-1)}\right)(1+\beta)} \\ \|u\|_1 &\leq Ct^{-\left(\frac{n}{2(p-1)}\right)(1+\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that $b(t) \approx (1+t)^{-\beta}$. For Cauchy problem of the form

$$(1.8) \quad u_{tt} - a^2(t)\Delta u + b(t)u_t + c_0|u|^{p-1}u = 0,$$

it is well known that the interplay between the coefficient $a^2(t)$ and the term $b(t)u_t$ induces different effect on the asymptotic behavior of the energy $E(t)$ given by

$$(1.9) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{a^2(t)}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_p^p.$$

For more details see [2, 3, 4, 20] and the references therein. In [1] Bui considered the asymptotic behavior of the nonlinear problem (1.8) with $a(t) = (1+t)^\ell$ and $b(t) = \mu(1+\ell)(1+t)^{-1}$, $\ell > 0$, $c_0 = 0$ and obtained the following estimate

$$(1.10) \quad \|u_t(t, \cdot), (1+t)^\ell \nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq (1+t)^{\ell+(\ell+1)\max\{\mu^* - \frac{1}{2}, -1\}} \left(\|u_1\|_{H^1} + \|u_2\|_{L^2} \right)$$

with $\mu^* = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mu - \frac{\ell}{\ell+1})$.

In the case of damped wave equation with space dependent potential type of damping;

$$(1.11) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(x)u_t + |u|^{p-1}u = 0,$$

where $b_1(1+|x|)^{-\alpha} \leq b(x) \leq b_2(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}$ and $b_1, b_2 > 0$, Todorova and Yordanov [19] investigated the decay rate of the energy when $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. They obtained several decay rate types for solutions of (1.11) depending on p and α . These decay rates take the form

$$(1.12) \quad (\|u_t\|_2 + \|\nabla u\|_2, \|u\|_{p+1}) = O\left(t^{\frac{-1}{p-1}+\delta}, t^{-\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}+\delta}\right)$$

if $1 < p < 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and

$$(1.13) \quad (\|u_t\|_2 + \|\nabla u\|_2, \|u\|_{p+1}) = O\left(t^{-(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{n}{2(p+1)} + \delta}, t^{-(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})\frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} + \frac{n}{4} + \delta}\right)$$

if $1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} < p < 1 + \frac{2(4-\alpha)}{(n-\alpha)(4-\alpha)}$, for $t > 1$, where δ is a constant. Nishihara[12] also considered the asymptotic behavior of solution to the semi-linear wave equation (1.11) with $b(x)$ satisfying

$$(1.14) \quad b_1(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq b(x) \leq b_2(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

and obtained decay rates of the following type

$$(1.15) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}} & \text{if } 1 + \frac{2}{n-\alpha} \leq p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}(\frac{1}{p-1}) - \frac{n}{4}} & \text{if } 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n-\alpha} \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}(\frac{1}{p-1}) - \frac{n}{4}} [\log(t+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}} & \text{if } 1 < p < 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.

Ikehata and Inoue [6] studied nonlinear wave equations with $b(x) = b_0(1 + |x|)^{-1}$ and showed that solutions to (1.11) depend on the coefficient b_0 and their decay estimate takes the form

$$(1.16) \quad \|u\| = O(t^{-1+\mu}) \quad \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = O(t^{-1+\mu})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < \mu + b_0 < 1 + b_0 & \quad \text{if } 0 < b_0 \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \mu < 1 & \quad \text{if } b_0 \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for damped wave equations with space-time dependent potential type of damping

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(t, x)u_t + |u|^{p-1}u &= 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

Lin et al. [8] considered decay rates of solution to (1.17) and showed using the weighted energy method that the L^2 norm of the solution decays as

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}\right)(1+\beta)} & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} > n \\ C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}\right)(1+\beta)} \log(t+2), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} = n \\ C(1+t)^{-(1+\beta)\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta}{2(2-\alpha)}\left(N - \alpha\frac{2}{p-1}\right)} & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} < n \end{cases}$$

(1.18)

For nonlinear wave equations with variable coefficients which exhibit a dissipative term with a space dependent potential

$$(1.19) \quad u_{tt} - \nabla \cdot (b(x)\nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (b(x)u_t) = 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0$$

under the assumption that

$$(1.20) \quad b_0(1+|x|)^\beta |\xi|^2 \leq b(x)\xi \cdot \xi \leq b_1(1+|x|)^\beta |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $b_0 > 0, b_1 > 0$ and $\beta \in [0, 2)$. R. Ikehata et al. [7] obtained long time asymptotics for solutions to (1.19)-(1.20) as a combination of solutions of wave and diffusion equations under certain assumptions on b in an exterior domain, see also [15].

Said-Houari [17] considered a viscoelastic wave equation with space-time dependent damping potential and an absorbing term

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + b(t,x)u_t + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), u_t(0,x) = u_1(x) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

(1.21)

and by using a weighted energy method, they showed that the L^2 decay rates are the same as those in [8].

More recently, Roberts[16] under the assumption that

$$b_0(1+|x|)^\beta \leq b(x) \leq b_1(1+|x|)^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad a_0(1+|x|)^{-\alpha} \leq a(x) \leq a_1(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}$$

with

$$(1.22) \quad \alpha < 1, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 2, \quad 2\alpha + \beta < 2,$$

obtained energy decay estimates of solution to the dissipative non-linear wave equation

$$(1.23) \quad u_{tt} - \operatorname{div}(b(x)\nabla u) + a(x)u_t + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t > 0$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad u_t(0,x) = u_1(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

using a modification of the weighted multiplier technique introduced by Todorova and Yordanov[14].

In this paper, by using the weighted L^2 -energy method similar to that of [8], we obtain decay estimates of the energy of the solution to (1.1), where $a(t, x)$ and $b(t, x)$ have the form in (1.2)-(1.3) above. In [8], the space \mathbf{R}^n was divided into two zones

$$Z(t; L, t_0) := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n | (t_0 + t)^2 \geq L + |x|^2\}$$

and $Z^c(t; L, t_0) = \mathbf{R}^n \setminus Z(t; L, t_0)$. To obtain boundedness on certain estimates on Z , a further division of Z was required. Here, we split the domain into two zones

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(t, L, t_0) &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : (t_0 + t)^A \geq L + |x|^2\} \quad \text{and} \\ \Omega^c(t, L, t_0) &= \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega(t, L, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

which depend on the weighted function for $A = \frac{2(1+\beta+\gamma)}{2-(\alpha+\delta)}$ and positive constants L, t_0 . With this choice, we overcome the challenge of splitting the first zone in order to obtain boundedness for every estimate on $\Omega(t; L, t_0)$ in the proof.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state some basic assumptions used in this paper. First, we introduce the following notations. $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Lebesgue space with norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $H_\rho^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the Sobolev space defined by

$$(2.1) \quad H_\rho^1(\mathbf{R}^n) := \{u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2+\delta}} : \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. (Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg)

There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \| |x|^\sigma u \|_{L^r} \leq C \| |x|^\delta \nabla u \|_{L^q}^\theta \| |x|^\ell u \|_{L^p}^{1-\theta}$$

holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if the following relations hold:

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\sigma}{n} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\delta-1}{n} \right) + (1-\theta) \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\ell}{n} \right)$$

with $p, q \geq 1$, $r > 0$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $\delta - d \leq 1$ if $\theta > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\delta-1}{n} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\sigma}{n}$

Remark 1. When $\sigma = \delta = \ell = 0$, the Lemma is referred to as the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

We define the weighted function $\psi(t, x)$ as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(t, x) = \lambda \frac{(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\alpha+\delta)}{2}}}{(t_0 + t)^{1+\beta+\gamma}}$$

for a small positive constant $\lambda = \frac{b_0(1+\beta+\gamma)}{2\rho_0(2-(\alpha+\delta))^2}$ and $t_0 \geq L \geq 1$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(t, x) &= -\lambda(1 + \beta + \gamma) \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\alpha+\delta)}{2}}}{(t_0+t)^{2+\beta+\gamma}} \\ \nabla\psi(t, x) &= \lambda(2 - (\alpha + \delta)) \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha-\delta}{2}} x}{(t_0+t)^{1+\beta+\gamma}} \\ |\nabla\psi(t, x)|^2 &= \lambda^2(2 - (\alpha + \delta))^2 \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{-\alpha-\delta} |x|^2}{(t_0+t)^{2+2\beta+2\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{a(t, x)|\nabla\psi|^2}{(-\psi_t(t, x))} \leq \frac{1}{2}b(t, x).$$

In the sequel, we will denote the function $\psi(t, x)$ by ψ for simplicity. To begin, we state the following lemmas which will be needed in the proof of the main result. First, we define the functions $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and $\mathcal{H}(t)$ associated to problem (1.1) by

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) := e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[\frac{1}{2}|u_t|^2 + \frac{a(t,x)}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right]$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{H}(t) := e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[uu_t + \frac{b(t, x)}{2}|u|^2 \right]$$

respectively. Then for the function $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in (2.6), we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let u be a solution of (1.1), then the function $\mathcal{E}(t)$ defined in (2.6), satisfies*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) \leq & \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi}\rho(x)\nabla uu_t) + e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[-\frac{b(t,x)}{4} + \psi_t \right] |u_t|^2 + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2} |u_t|^2 \\ & + e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[\frac{-\gamma}{(p+1)(1+t)} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} \right] |u|^{p+1} + e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{\rho(x)\psi_t}{3} \right] |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by $e^{2\psi}u_t$ and using (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{1}{2}|u_t|^2 + \frac{a(t,x)}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right] \right] \\
 &= \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi}a(t,x)\nabla uu_t) + e^{2\psi} \left[\psi_t - b(t,x) \right] |u_t|^2 + \frac{e^{2\psi}a_t(t,x)}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\
 (2.9) \quad &+ \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[\psi_t |\nabla u|^2 - \nabla \psi u_t \right]^2 - \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)|\nabla \psi|^2}{\psi_t} |u_t|^2 + \frac{2e^{2\psi}\psi_t}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \\
 &\leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi}a(t,x)\nabla uu_t) + e^{2\psi} \left[\psi_t - \frac{1}{2}b(t,x) \right] |u_t|^2 + \frac{e^{2\psi}a_t(t,x)}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\
 &+ \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[\psi_t |\nabla u| - \nabla \psi u_t \right]^2 + \frac{2e^{2\psi}\psi_t}{p+1} |u|^{p+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$(2.10) \quad e^{2\psi}u_t \cdot b(t,x)u_t = e^{2\psi}b(t,x)|u_t|^2.$$

By employing Schwartz inequality, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[\psi_t |\nabla u| - \nabla \psi u_t \right]^2 \\
 (2.11) \quad &= \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[|\psi_t|^2 |\nabla u|^2 - 2\psi_t u_t \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi + |\nabla \psi|^2 |u_t|^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{e^{2\psi}a(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[\frac{1}{3} |\psi_t|^2 |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 |u_t|^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (2.5) in (2.11) and substituting the resulting estimate in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{1}{2}|u_t|^2 + \frac{a(t,x)}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right] \right] \\
 (2.12) \quad &\leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi}a(t,x)\nabla uu_t) + e^{2\psi} \left[\psi_t - \frac{b(t,x)}{4} \right] |u_t|^2 + \frac{2e^{2\psi}\psi_t}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \\
 &+ e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{a_t(t,x)}{2} + \frac{a(t,x)\psi_t}{3} \right] |\nabla u|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and multiplying (2.12) by $\eta(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[\frac{1}{2}|u_t|^2 + \frac{a(t,x)}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi}\rho(x)\nabla uu_t) + e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[-\frac{b(t,x)}{4} + \psi_t \right] |u_t|^2 + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2} |u_t|^2 \\
 &+ e^{2\psi}\eta(t) \left[\frac{-\gamma}{(p+1)(1+t)} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} \right] |u|^{p+1} + e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{\rho(x)\psi_t}{3} \right] |\nabla u|^2. \\
 (2.13) \quad &
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, for the function $\mathcal{H}(t)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let u be a solution of (1.1), then the function $\mathcal{H}(t)$ defined in (2.7), satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(t) \leq & \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} \rho(x) u \nabla u) + e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u_t|^2 + 2e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \psi_t u u_t - e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1} \\
 & - \frac{e^{2\psi} \rho(x)}{4} |\nabla u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\frac{b(t,x)}{2} + \frac{b(t,x) \psi_t}{3} \right] |u|^2 \\
 & + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t) b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \eta_t(t) u u_t
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by $e^{2\psi} u$ and using the estimate (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi} \left[u u_t + \frac{b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \right] \right] \\
 = & \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} a(t,x) u \nabla u) + e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 + 2e^{2\psi} \psi_t u u_t + e^{2\psi} \frac{b_t(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \\
 & - e^{2\psi} a(t,x) |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{a^2(t,x) |\nabla \psi|^2}{\psi_t b(t,x)} |\nabla u|^2 e^{2\psi} - e^{2\psi} |u|^{p+1} \\
 & + \frac{b(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[|\psi_t u + \frac{a(t,x) \nabla \psi}{b(t,x)} |\nabla u| \right]^2 e^{2\psi} \\
 \leq & \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} a(t,x) u \nabla u) + e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 + 2e^{2\psi} \psi_t u u_t + e^{2\psi} \frac{b_t(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \\
 & - \frac{e^{2\psi} a(t,x)}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{b(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[|\psi_t u - \frac{a(t,x) \nabla \psi}{b(t,x)} |\nabla u| \right]^2 e^{2\psi} - e^{2\psi} |u|^{p+1}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{2\psi} b(t,x) u u_t = & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{e^{2\psi} b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \right] - e^{2\psi} \psi_t b(t,x) |u|^2 \\
 & - e^{2\psi} \frac{b_t(t,x)}{2} |u|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.16}$$

Using Schwartz inequality for the second to the last term on the right hand side of (2.15), we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{b(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[|\psi_t u + \frac{a(t,x) \nabla \psi}{b(t,x)} |\nabla u| \right]^2 \\
 \leq & \frac{b(t,x)}{\psi_t} \left[\frac{1}{3} |\psi_t|^2 |u|^2 - \frac{|a(t,x)|^2 |\nabla \psi|^2}{2|b(t,x)|^2} |\nabla u|^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.17}$$

In a similar way, using (2.5) in (2.17), and substituting the resulting estimate in (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi} \left[u u_t + \frac{b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \right] \right] \\
 \leq & \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} a(t,x) u \nabla u) + e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 + 2e^{2\psi} \psi_t u u_t + e^{2\psi} \frac{b_t(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \\
 & - \frac{e^{2\psi} a(t,x)}{4} |\nabla u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \frac{b(t,x) \psi_t}{3} |u|^2 - e^{2\psi} |u|^{p+1}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.18}$$

and multiplying (2.18) by $\eta(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[uu_t + \frac{b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 \right] \right] \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} \rho(x) u \nabla u) + e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u_t|^2 + 2e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \psi_t uu_t - e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1} \\
 & \quad - \frac{e^{2\psi} \rho(x)}{4} |\nabla u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\frac{b_t(t,x)}{2} + \frac{b(t,x) \psi_t}{3} \right] |u|^2 \\
 & \quad + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t) b(t,x)}{2} |u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \eta_t(t) uu_t.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.19}$$

□

3. Main result

In this section, we consider the long time behavior of the solution to (1.1). The result here is obtained via a weighted energy method and the technique follows that of Lin et al.[8]. For local existence result, the compactness condition on the support of the initial data is replaced by the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_0 := & \int_{\Omega(0;L,t_0)} \eta(0) \left[t_0^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \left[|u_1|^2 + a(0,x) |\nabla u_0|^2 \right] + b(0,x) |u_0|^2 \right] e^{2\psi(0,x)} dx \\
 & + \int_{\Omega^c(0;L,t_0)} \eta(0) \left[(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \left[|u_1|^2 + a(0,x) |\nabla u_0|^2 \right] + b(0,x) |u_0|^2 \right] \\
 & e^{2\psi(0,x)} dx < +\infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

With respect to the size of $(1 + |x|^2)$ and $(1 + t)$ and considering the weighted function ψ , we partition the space \mathbf{R}^n into the following zones:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(t, L, t_0) &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : (t_0 + t)^A \geq L + |x|^2\} \quad \text{and} \\
 \Omega^c(t, L, t_0) &= \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega(t, L, t_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a modification of the zones as inspired by Lin et. al. [8], where $A = \frac{2(1+\beta+\gamma)}{2-(\alpha+\delta)}$. Since $\alpha + \beta + \delta + \gamma \in [0, 1)$, it follows that $A < 2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let u be the solution of (1.1) and let $a(t, x)$, $b(t, x)$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) for $2 < p + 1 < \frac{2n}{n-2+\delta}$ when $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1_\rho(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and (??) holds. Then there exist a unique solution u of (1.1) with $u \in L^\infty([0, \infty); H^1_\rho(\mathbf{R}^n))$ and $u_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ which satisfies the following estimate*

$$(3.2) \|u\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{2(1+\beta)}{p-1} + \frac{\alpha(1+\beta+\gamma)}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{2(1+\beta)}{p-1} + \frac{\alpha(1+\beta+\gamma)}{2-(\delta+\alpha)} \log(2+t)}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{2(1+\beta)}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n - \frac{2\alpha}{p-1})}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. The existence result can be proved using the same technique as in [8] where in this case the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality is used instead of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, with the additional consideration of the inequality $|x|^\delta \leq (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$. Hence, we omit the proof here.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 3.1] We split the proof into three parts, the first part considers the case $x \in \Omega(t, L, t_0)$, the second part covers the case $x \in \Omega^c(t, L, t_0)$ and the third part combines the two results. We state the result in each of the zones in the form of a lemma.

Case 1: ($x \in \Omega(t, L, t_0)$). In this region, we define a function $E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0))$ by

$$(3.3) \quad E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0)) := (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \mathcal{E}(t) + \nu \mathcal{H}(t)$$

where ν is a small positive constant to be determined later, and the functions $H_E(t; \Omega(t, L, t_0))$, $H_1(t)$ and $H_2(t)$ by

$$(3.4) H_E(t; \Omega(t, L, t_0)) := \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0)) dx$$

$$(3.5) \quad H_1(t) := \int_0^{2\pi} E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0)) \Big|_{|x|=\sqrt{(t_0+t)^A - L}} \left[(t_0 + t)^A - L \right]^{\frac{N-1}{2}} d\theta \\ \times \frac{d}{dt} \sqrt{(t_0 + t)^A - L}$$

$$(3.6) \quad H_2(t) := \int_{\partial\Omega(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} \left[(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u \right] \cdot \vec{n} dS$$

where \vec{n} is the unit outward normal vector of $\partial\Omega(t; L, t_0)$. Then we state the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let u be a solution of (1.1) and the functions $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and $\mathcal{H}(t)$ be defined as in (2.6) and (2.7) above, then for $x \in \Omega(t, L, t_0)$, the function $E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0))$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} E_\psi(\Omega(t, L, t_0)) \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} [(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u]) \\
 (3.7) \quad & -k_0 e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[1 + (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} (-\psi_t) \right] \left(|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right) \\
 & -k_0 \left[\frac{1}{(t_0 + t)} + (-\psi_t) \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) b(t, x) |u|^2 - k_0 e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where k_0 is a positive constant to be determined later. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left((t_0 + t)^m H_E(t; \Omega(t, L, t_0)) \right) - (t_0 + t)^m (H_1(t) + H_2(t)) \\
 (3.8) \quad & \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)} (n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.8) by $(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \mathcal{E}(t) \right] \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t) + \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} |u_t|^2 \\
 (3.9) \quad & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2(t_0 + t)^{1-(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - \frac{b(t, x)}{4} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} + (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u_t|^2 \\
 & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2(t_0 + t)^{1-(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} + \frac{\psi_t}{3} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \right] e^{2\psi} \rho(x) |\nabla u|^2 \\
 & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma}{(p+1)(t_0 + t)^{1-(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2} \leq \beta + \alpha < 1$ since $A < 2$ and $\alpha + \beta + \delta + \gamma < 1$.

Now, multiplying (2.14) by ν (where $\nu < b_0$) and adding the resulting estimate to (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \mathcal{E}(t) + \nu \mathcal{H}(t) \right] \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} [(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u]) \\
 (3.10) \quad & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma(1 - \frac{\nu}{b_0})}{2(t_0 + t)^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} + \nu - \frac{b_0}{4} + \frac{(\epsilon_1 b_0 - 3\nu)}{\epsilon_1 b_0} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u_t|^2 \\
 & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2(t_0 + t)^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - \frac{\nu}{4} + \frac{\psi_t}{3} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \right] e^{2\psi} \rho(x) |\nabla u|^2 \\
 & + \nu \left[\frac{-\beta}{2(t_0 + t)} + \frac{(1 - \epsilon_1)}{3} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) b(t, x) |u|^2 \\
 & + \left[\frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma}{(p+1)(t_0 + t)^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - \nu + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Schwartz inequality to obtain the following estimates for the third and last term on the right hand side of (2.14) respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad |2\psi_t u_t u| & \leq \frac{\epsilon_1 b(t, x)(-\psi_t)}{3} |u|^2 + \frac{3(-\psi_t)}{\epsilon_1 b_0} (1 + t)^\beta (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |u_t|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{-\epsilon_1 b(t, x)\psi_t}{3} |u|^2 - \frac{3\psi_t}{\epsilon_1 b_0} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} |u_t|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad |\eta_t(t) u_t u| & \leq \frac{-b(t, x)\eta_t(t)}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2b_0} (1 + t)^\beta (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |u_t|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{-b(t, x)\eta_t(t)}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2b_0} (t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} |u_t|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By a suitable choice of ν sufficiently small as mentioned earlier, we can now choose a positive constant k_0 such that the estimates below are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma(1 - \frac{\nu}{b_0})}{2t_0^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} + \nu - \frac{b_0}{4} \leq -k_0 \\
 & \frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2t_0^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - \frac{\nu}{4} \leq -k_0, \quad \frac{(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma}{(p+1)t_0^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - \nu \leq -2k_0 \\
 & \nu \frac{1 - \epsilon_1}{3} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{(\epsilon_1 b_0 - 3\nu)}{\epsilon_1 b_0} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{1}{3} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{2}{(p+1)} \geq k_0, \quad \nu \frac{\beta}{2} \geq k_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

this gives the desired estimate (3.7).

We now integrate the estimate (3.7) over $\Omega(t; L, t_0)$ to obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) - H_1(t) - H_2(t) \leq -H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \\
 := & k_0 \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[(1 + (-\psi_t)(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}}) |u_t|^2 + (1 + (-\psi_t)(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}}) \right. \\
 & a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 \\
 & \left. + \left(-\psi_t + \frac{1}{t_0 + t} \right) b(t, x) |u|^2 + (1 + (-\psi_t)(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}}) |u|^{p+1} + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

Define the function $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) := & \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} \eta(t) \\
 & \left[(t_0 + t)^{\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}} \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] + b(t, x) |u|^2 \right] e^{2\psi} dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

It can be proved easily that for positive constants k_1, k_2 , the following inequality is satisfied:

$$k_1 \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}} \leq H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \leq k_2 \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}.
 \tag{3.17}$$

Now, multiplying (3.14) by $(t_0 + t)^m$ for m a constant which will be determined later, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} & \left((t_0 + t)^m H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \right) - (t_0 + t)^m (H_1(t) + H_2(t)) \\
 \leq & (t_0 + t)^m \left[\frac{m}{t_0 + t} H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

The term on the right hand side is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{m}{t_0 + t} H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \\
 & \leq \frac{mk_2}{t_0 + t} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\frac{mk_2}{(t_0 + t)^{1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - k_0 \right] \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\
 & \quad + \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left[\frac{mk_2}{t_0 + t} \right] b(t, x) u^2 - k_0 |u|^{p+1} \right] dx,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

where we have used $\psi_t \leq 0$.

From (3.13), it can be easily seen that we can choose t_0 large enough, such that $\frac{mk_2}{t_0^{1-(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}} < \frac{k_0}{2}$. Therefore, the first term on the right hand side of (3.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\frac{mk_2}{(t_0+t)^{1-(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}} - k_0 \right] \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t,x)|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ & \leq -\frac{k_0}{2} \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) (|u_t|^2 + a(t,x)|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1}) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

To estimate the second term on the right hand of (3.19), we apply Young's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left[\frac{mk_2}{t_0+t} \right] b(t,x)u^2 - k_0|u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left[\frac{mk_2}{(1+t)^{1+\beta}} \right] b_0(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}} |u|^2 - k_0|u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha(p+1)}{2(p-1)}} - k_p|u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ & \leq C\eta(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} \int_{\Omega(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha(p+1)}{2(p-1)}} dx \\ & \leq C\eta(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} \int_0^{(t_0+t)^{\frac{A}{2}}} (1+r^2)^{\frac{-\alpha(p+1)}{2(p-1)}} r^{n-1} dr \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where $C = C(m, b_0, k_2, p)$ and $k_p = k_p(k_0, p)$. Define J by

$$J := C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma} \int_0^{(t_0+t)^{\frac{A}{2}}} (1+r^2)^{\frac{-\alpha(p+1)}{2(p-1)}} r^{n-1} dr.$$

Thus, if $\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n$, it follows that

$$J \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma}, \tag{3.22}$$

if $\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n$, we have

$$J \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma} \log(2+t) \tag{3.23}$$

and if $\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n$, we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad J \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma+\frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})}.$$

Combining (3.19) - (3.24), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{m}{t_0+t} H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} > n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} = n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}-\gamma+\frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} < n. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have that

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0+t)^m H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) \right] - (t_0+t)^m (H_1(t) + H_2(t)) \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} > n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} = n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}+\frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} < n. \end{cases}$$

□

Case 2: For the region $\Omega^c(t; L, t_0) = \{x | (t_0+t)^A \leq L + |x|^2\}$, we define another function $E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0))$ by

$$(3.27) \quad E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)) := (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \mathcal{E}(t) + \nu \mathcal{H}(t),$$

where ν is a small positive constant to be determined later. In addition, define

$$(3.28) \quad H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) := \int_{\Omega^c(t; L, t_0)} E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)) dx$$

$$(3.29) \quad H_1^*(t) := \int_0^{2\pi} E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)) \Big|_{|x|=\sqrt{(t_0+t)^A-L}} \left[(t_0+t)^A - L \right]^{\frac{N-1}{2}} d\theta \times \frac{d}{dt} \sqrt{(t_0+t)^A - L}$$

$$H_2^*(t) := \int_{\partial\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \left[(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u \right] \cdot \vec{n} dS$$

(3.30)

where \vec{n} is the unit outward normal vector of $\partial\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)$.

We can now state the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let u be a solution of (1.1) and the functions $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and $\mathcal{H}(t)$ be defined as in (2.6) and (2.7) above, then for $x \in \Omega^c(t;L,t_0)$, the function $E_\psi(\Omega^c(t,L,t_0))$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} E_\psi(\Omega^c(t,L,t_0)) \\ & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} [(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u]) \\ & \quad - k_0 e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[1 + (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} (-\psi_t) \right] \left(|u_t|^2 + a(t,x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right) \\ & \quad - k_0 \left[\frac{1}{(t_0+t)} + (-\psi_t) \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) b(t,x) |u|^2 - k_0 [1 + (L+|x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{A}[1-(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})]}] \\ & \quad e^{2\psi} \eta(t) |u|^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

(3.31)

where k_0 is a positive constant to be determined later. Moreover, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0+t)^m H_E(t; \Omega^c(t;L,t_0)) \right] - (t_0+t)^m (H_1(t) + H_2(t)) \leq 0.$$

(3.32)

Proof. Multiplying (2.8) by $(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \mathcal{E}(t) \right] \\ & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t) + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2} (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} |u_t|^2 \\ & \quad + \eta(t) \left[-\frac{b(t,x)}{4} (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} + (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 \\ & \quad + \left[(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \frac{\psi_t}{3} \right] e^{2\psi} \rho(x) |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}{(L+|x|^2)^{1-\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}} e^{2\psi} x \cdot \rho(x) \nabla u u_t \\ & \quad + e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\frac{-\gamma(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})}}{(p+1)(1+t)} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} (L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta+\frac{\alpha A}{2})} \right] |u|^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.33)

Adding (3.33) to $\nu \times$ (2.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)) \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} [(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u]) \\
 & - \frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) e^{2\psi} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - 1} x \cdot \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t) b(t, x)}{2} |u|^2 \\
 & + \eta(t) \left[\nu - \frac{b(t, x)}{4} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} + (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 \\
 & + \left[-\frac{\nu}{4} + (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \frac{\psi_t}{3} \right] e^{2\psi} \rho(x) |\nabla u|^2 + e^{2\psi} \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} |u_t|^2 \\
 & + \eta(t) \left[-\nu - \frac{\gamma(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}}{(p+1)(1+t)} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \right] e^{2\psi} |u|^{p+1} \\
 & + \nu \left[\frac{-\beta}{2(t_0+t)} + \frac{\psi_t}{3} \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) b(t, x) |u|^2 + 2\nu e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \psi_t u u_t + \nu e^{2\psi} \eta_t(t) u u_t.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.34}$$

For the second term on the right hand of (3.34), by using Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - 1} x \cdot \rho(x) \nabla u u_t \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}} |u_t| |\rho(x)| |\nabla u| \\
 (3.35) \quad & \leq \frac{\frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) \rho(x)}{2(L + |x|^2)^{1 - \frac{1}{A}(\beta + 1 + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]}} |u_t|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{\frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) \rho_0}{2(L + |x|^2)^{1 - \frac{1}{A}(\beta + 1 + \frac{\alpha + \delta)A}{2}}} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\frac{1}{A} (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}{2(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]}} |u_t|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and observe here that $\frac{1}{A} (\beta + 1 + \frac{(\alpha + \delta)A}{2}) = \frac{2(\beta + 1) + \gamma(\alpha + \delta)}{2(1 + \beta + \gamma)} < 1$. Also, by using the Schwartz inequality, we obtain the following estimates for the second to the last term and the last term on the right hand side of (3.34) respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.36) \quad |2\psi_t u u_t| & \leq \frac{\epsilon_2}{3} (-\psi_t) b(t, x) |u|^2 + \frac{3}{\epsilon_2 b_0} (-\psi_t) (1 + t)^\beta (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |u_t|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{-\epsilon_2}{3} (\psi_t) b(t, x) |u|^2 - \frac{3}{\epsilon_2 b_0} (\psi_t) (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} |u_t|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.37) \quad |\eta_t(t) u_t u| & \leq \frac{b(t, x) (-\eta_t(t))}{2} |u|^2 + \frac{(-\eta_t(t))}{2b_0} (1 + t)^\beta (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |u_t|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{-b(t, x) \eta_t(t)}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{\eta_t(t)}{2b_0} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} |u_t|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, substituting the estimates (3.35) - (3.37) in (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} E_\psi(\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)) \\
 & \leq \nabla \cdot (e^{2\psi} [(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \rho(x) \nabla u u_t + \nu \rho(x) u \nabla u]) \\
 & + \eta(t) \left[\nu + \frac{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma(1 - \frac{\nu}{b_0})}{2L^{\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]}} - \frac{b_0}{4} + (1 - \frac{3\nu}{\epsilon_2 b_0})(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \psi_t \right] e^{2\psi} |u_t|^2 \\
 & + \left[-\frac{\nu}{4} + \frac{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) \rho_0}{2L^{1 - \frac{1}{A}(\beta + 1 + \frac{\alpha + \delta A}{2})}} + (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \frac{\psi_t}{3} \right] e^{2\psi} \rho(x) |\nabla u|^2 \\
 & + \eta(t) \left[-\nu - \frac{\gamma}{(p+1)(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]}} + \frac{2\psi_t}{p+1} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \right] e^{2\psi} |u|^{p+1} \\
 & + \nu \left[\frac{-\beta}{2(t_0 + t)} + \frac{(1 - \epsilon_2)\psi_t}{3} \right] e^{2\psi} \eta(t) b(t, x) |u|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.38}$$

Now, just as in the Case 1, we choose a suitable value for ν which is sufficiently small and a positive constant k_0 such that the estimates we have below are satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \nu + \frac{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) - \gamma(1 - \frac{\nu}{b_0})}{2L^{\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]}} - \frac{b_0}{4} \leq -k_0, \quad -\frac{\nu}{4} + \frac{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2}) \rho_0}{2L^{1 - \frac{1}{A}(\beta + 1 + \frac{\alpha + \delta A}{2})}} \leq -k_0, \\
 & \nu \frac{(1 - \epsilon_2)}{3} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{2}{p+1} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{1}{3} \geq k_0, \quad (1 - \frac{3\nu}{\epsilon_2 b_0}) \geq k_0, \quad \nu \geq 2k_0, \\
 & \frac{\beta\nu}{2} \geq k_0, \quad \frac{\gamma}{p+1} \geq k_0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.39}$$

which gives the desired estimate. Therefore by integrating the estimate (3.31) over $\Omega^c(t, L, t_0)$, we obtain

$$(3.40) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) - H_1^*(t) - H_2^*(t) \leq -H_3(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_3(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \\
 & := k_0 \int_{\Omega^c(t; L, t_0)} \eta(t) e^{2\psi} \left[\left[1 + (-\psi_t)(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \right] \right. \\
 & \left. \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \left(-\psi_t + \frac{1}{t_0 + t} \right) b(t, x) |u|^2 + \left[1 + (L + |x|^2)^{-\frac{1}{A}[1 - (\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})]} \right] |u|^{p+1} \right] dx
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.41}$$

Define the function $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}^c}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}^c} \\ &= \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} \eta(t) \left[(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t,x)|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] + b(t,x)|u|^2 \right] e^{2\psi} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

It can be proved in a similar way as in Case 1 that for positive constants k_1^*, k_2^* , the following inequality holds.

$$(3.43) \quad k_1^* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}^c} \leq H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \leq k_2^* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}^c}.$$

Multiplying (3.40) by $(t_0 + t)^m$ for the same constant m as in Case 1, we have

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0 + t)^m H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \right] - (t_0 + t)^m (H_1^*(t) + H_2^*(t)) \\ & \leq (t_0 + t)^m \left[\frac{m}{t_0 + t} H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The term on the right hand side is estimated as

$$(3.45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{m}{t_0 + t} H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) - H_3(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \\ & \leq \frac{mk_2^*}{t_0 + t} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}^c} - H_3(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{mk_2^*(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}}{(t_0 + t)} - k_0 \left[1 + (-\psi_t)(L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \right] \right] \\ & \quad \times \eta(t) \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t,x)|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left(\frac{mk_2^*}{t_0 + t} - k_0(-\psi_t) \right) b(t,x)u^2 - k_0|u|^{p+1} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen from (3.39) that we can suitably choose k_0 such that $mk_2^* \leq \lambda k_0(1 + \beta + \gamma)$. Therefore the first term on the right hand side of (3.45) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})} \left[\frac{mk_2^*}{(t_0+t)} - k_0\lambda(1 + \beta + \gamma) \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\delta+\alpha)}{2}}}{(t_0+t)^{2+\beta+\gamma}} \right] \\
 & \quad \times \eta(t) \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\
 \leq & \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{A}(\beta + \frac{\alpha A}{2})}}{(t_0+t)} \left[mk_2^* - k_0\lambda(1 + \beta + \gamma) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \eta(t) \left[|u_t|^2 + a(t, x) |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.46}$$

Likewise, for the second term on the right hand side of (3.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left(\frac{mk_2^*}{t_0+t} - k_0\lambda(1 + \beta + \gamma) \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\alpha+\delta)}{2}}}{(t_0+t)^{2+\beta+\gamma}} \right) b(t, x) u^2 - k_0 |u|^{p+1} \right] dx \\
 \leq & \int_{\Omega^c(t;L,t_0)} e^{2\psi} \eta(t) \left[\left(\frac{mk_2^*}{t_0+t} - \frac{k_0\lambda(1+\beta+\gamma)}{(t_0+t)} \right) b(t, x) u^2 \right] dx \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.47}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(t_0 + t)^m H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \right] - (t_0 + t)^m (H_1^*(t) + H_2^*(t)) \leq 0.$$

□

Case 3. With $t_0 > L$ and $H_1 = H_1^*$, $H_2 = H_2^*$, then it follows from (3.26) and (3.48) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left((t_0 + t)^m \left[H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) + H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \right] \right) \\
 \leq & \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n \\ C(1+t)^{m-\gamma-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)} (n - \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.49}$$

Choosing

$$m = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} - 1 + \gamma + \epsilon & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ \frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} - \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)} \left(n - \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1} \right) - 1 + \gamma + \epsilon & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n, \end{cases}
 \tag{3.50}$$

for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and integrating (3.49) over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[H_E(t; \Omega(t; L, t_0)) + H_E(t; \Omega^c(t; L, t_0)) \right] \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}+1-\gamma}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}+1-\gamma} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})+1-\gamma}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.51}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A} & := \int_{\Omega(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} b(t, x) |u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega^c(t; L, t_0)} e^{2\psi} b(t, x) |u|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}+1}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} > n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1}+1} \log(2+t), & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} = n \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\beta)(p+1)}{p-1} + \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(n-\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p-1})+1}, & \text{if } \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{(p-1)} < n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.52}$$

Now, set $y = \frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\delta+\alpha)}{2}}}{(t_0+t)^{1+\beta+\gamma}}$. Since the following estimate

$$(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}} \geq (L + |x|^2)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}} = \left[\frac{(L+|x|^2)^{\frac{2-(\delta+\alpha)}{2}}}{(t_0+t)^{1+\beta+\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{-\alpha}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}} (t_0 + t)^{\frac{-\alpha}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(1+\beta+\gamma)}
 \tag{3.53}$$

holds, then for $y > 0$, we have that

$$e^{2\lambda y} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}} \geq C.
 \tag{3.54}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A} \geq C(1+t)^{-\beta-\frac{\alpha}{2-(\delta+\alpha)}(1+\beta+\gamma)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u^2 dx
 \tag{3.55}$$

which gives the desired estimate. □

Remark 3. The decay result in Theorem 3.1 coincides with that of [8] for the case $\delta = \gamma = 0$ and with that of [13] for the case $\delta = \gamma = \alpha = 0$.

References

- [1] T.B. N. Bui, "Wave models with time-dependent speed and dissipation", Ph. D. thesis, Technical University Bergakademie Freiberg, 2013.
- [2] T. B. N. Bui and M. Reissig, "The interplay between time-dependent speed of propagation and dissipation in wave models", in *Fourier analysis*, M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen, Eds. Cham: Birkhäuser, 2014, pp. 9–45.
- [3] M. D'Abicco and M. R. Ebert, "A class of dissipative wave equations with time-dependent speed and damping", *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 399, no. 1, pp. 315-332, 2013.
- [4] M. R. Ebert and W. N. Nascimento, "A classification for wave models with time-dependent mass and speed of propagation", *Advances in differential equations*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 847-888, 2018.
- [5] T. Hosono and T. Ogawa, "Large time behavior and $L^p - L^q$ estimate of solutions of 2-dimensional nonlinear damped wave equations", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 82-118, 2004.
- [6] R. Ikehata, Y. Inoue, "Total energy decay for semilinear wave equations with a critical potential type of damping", *Nonlinear analysis*, vol. 69, no.1, pp. 1396-1401, 2008.
- [7] R. Ikehata, G. Todorova, and B. Yordanov, "Wave equations with strong damping in Hilbert spaces", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 254, no. 8, pp. 3352-3368, 2013.
- [8] J. Lin, K. Nishihara, and J. Zhai, " L^2 -estimates of solutions for damped wave equations with space-time dependent damping term", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 248, no. 2, pp. 403-422, 2010.
- [9] P. Marcati and K. Nishihara, "The $L^p - L^q$ estimates of solutions to one-dimensional damped wave equations and their application to the compressible flow through porous media", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 191, no. 2, pp. 445-469, 2003.
- [10] T. Narazaki, " $L^p - L^q$ estimates for damped wave equations and their applications to semi-linear problem", *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 585-626, 2004.

- [11] K. Nishihara, " $L^p - L^q$ estimates of solutions to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application", *Mathematische zeitschrift*, vol. 244, pp. 631-649, 2003.
- [12] K. Nishihara, "Decay properties for the damped wave equation with space dependent potential and absorbed semilinear term", *Communications in partial differential equations*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1402-1418, 2010.
- [13] K. Nishihara and J. Zhai, "Asymptotic behaviors of solutions for time dependent damped wave equations", *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 360, no. 2, pp. 412-421, 2009.
- [14] P. Radu, G. Todorova, and B. Yordanov, "Decay estimates for wave equations with variable coefficients", *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 362, no. 5, pp. 2279-2299, 2009.
- [15] P. Radu, G. Todorova, and B. Yordanov, "Dispersion phenomenon in Hilbert spaces and applications", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 250, no. 11, pp. 4200-4218, 2011.
- [16] M. Roberts, "Decay estimates for nonlinear wave equations with variable coefficients", *Electronic journal of differential equations*, vol. 2019, Art. ID. 91, 2019.
- [17] B. Said-Houari, "Asymptotic behaviors of solutions for viscoelastic wave equation with space-time dependent damping term", *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 387, no. 2, pp. 1088-1105, 2012.
- [18] Y. Todorova and B. Yordanov, "Critical exponent for a nonlinear wave equation with damping", *Journal of differential equations*, vol. 174, no. 2 pp. 464- 489, 2001.
- [19] G. Todorova, B. Yordanov, "Nonlinear dissipative wave equations with potential", in *Control methods in PDE-dynamical systems*, F. Ancona, I. Lasiecka, W. Littman and R. Triggiani, Eds. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2007, pp. 317-337.
- [20] K. Yagdjian, "Parametric resonance and nonexistence of the global solution to nonlinear wave equations", *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 260, no. 1, pp. 251-268, 2001.

Paul A. Ogbiyele

Department of Mathematics,
University of Ibadan,
Ibadan, 200284,
Nigeria
e-mail: paulogbiyele@yahoo.com
Corresponding author

and

Peter O. Arawomo

Department of Mathematics,
University of Ibadan,
Ibadan, 200284,
Nigeria
e-mail: po.arawomo@ui.edu.ng

IBADAN UNIVERSITY LIBRARY